#### Comprehensive School Improvement Plan (CSIP) Rule/Statute Interpretation Matrix

Regulatory Guidance for the Consolidation of State and Federal Programs and Initiatives in the CSIP

- The CSIP is a district-wide planning tool that guides the instructional focus (goal priorities) for school districts and accredited nonpublic schools in Iowa. A CSIP does not contain all that a school does; it contains actions that are centered on improving teaching and learning, at a minimum, in the areas of reading, mathematics, science, and other local indicators for student achievement.
- The CSIP has several functions: 1) five-year vision/direction for alignment of school efforts, 2) five-year plan to improve teaching and learning, 3) five-year application for state and federal programs that support district goals.

What do data tell us about our student learning needs?					
Citation         Topic           281—IAC         1.           12.8(1)         Long-range data analysis           281—IAC         12.7(1)(a)(1),	Rule/Statute  Chapter 12 The long-range needs assessment process shall include provisions for analyzing information derived from local, state, and national sources. The process shall include provisions for reviewing information acquired over time on the following: 1) state indicators and other locally determined indicators, 2) locally established student learning goals, and 3) specific data collection required by federal and state programs.	<ul> <li>The process for collection and analysis of long-range needs assessment data is locally determined.</li> <li>The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) must contain long range data collection and analyses for each of the following state indicators:         <ol> <li>Reading</li> <li>Mathematics</li> <li>Science</li> <li>Dropouts</li> <li>High school seniors intending to pursue post-secondary education</li> <li>High school students/indicators of post-secondary success</li> <li>High school graduates completing core program</li> <li>"Analysis" means examining the data/information to answer questions about how well students are learning, determining priorities, and focusing instruction.</li> <li>"Additional factors" are locally determined - indicators that impact student achievement in addition to state indicators for lowa listed in 12.8(3)(a).</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	LRDA1.The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain long range data collection and analysis over time. 281—IAC 12.8(1)(b)(2)  LRDA2.The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain any evidence of long-range needs assessment for state indicators. 281—IAC 12.8(1)(b)(2)  LRDA3.The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain any evidence of long-range needs assessment analysis for locally determined indicators. 281—IAC 12.8(1)(b)(2)(1)  LRDA4.The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain any evidence of long-range needs assessment analysis for locally established student learning goals. 281—IAC 12.8(1)(b)(2)(2)		

Citation	Topic	Rule/Statute	Rule/Statute Interpretation	Noncompliance
281—IAC 12.8(1) (a)(1)(2)	2. Major educational needs	Chapter 12 The school or school district shall involve the local community in decision-making processes as appropriate. The school or school district shall seek input from the local community about, but not limited to, the following elements at least once every five years:major educational needs	<ul> <li>How the school or school district defines "local community" is a local decision.</li> <li>How the school or school district seeks input from the community is a local decision.</li> <li>A school or school district is not limited to conducting a needs assessment only once every five years. Continuous needs assessment implies a variety of techniques over time.</li> <li>What titles/labels the school or school district uses to identify major educational needs are locally determined.</li> </ul>	improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain evidence that the school or school district seeks input from the local community at least once every five years about major educational needs. 281—IAC 12.8(1)(a)(1)  LC4. The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain major educational needs. 281—IAC 12.8(1)(a)(1)(2)
Title IV, Part A Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities Section 4115(a)(1) (A) and Section 4114(d)(2)(E)	3. Application for federal funding  Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities federal programs: Collection and analysis of violence and illegal drug use data	program or activity shall—be based on an assessment of objective data regarding the incidence of violence and illegal drug use among public and nonpublic school students in schools to be served. The assessment must include objective analysis of the current conditions and consequences (including delinquency and serious discipline problems) regarding violence and drug use that is based on ongoing local assessment or evaluation activities. how the services will be targeted to schools and students with the greatest need	<ul> <li>Note: These requirements apply only to public school districts accessing Safe and Drug-Free School and Communities federal program funds.</li> <li>A district must collect two types of student data: 1) incidence and prevalence of substance abuse and 2) violence.</li> <li>Objective data means valid and reliable information. In Iowa, most schools use the Iowa Youth Survey; however, districts may use surveys other than the Iowa Youth Service as long as they yield valid, reliable, objective data.</li> <li>The district does not have to directly collect the data; the information may be obtained from other sources.</li> <li>How the district collects and analyzes violence and drug use data is locally determined.</li> <li>The collection and analysis of data should be on-going and tied to program evaluation.</li> <li>Data must be analyzed within the context of the larger community.</li> </ul>	For public school districts accessing Title IV, Part A funds:  SDF1. The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain evidence that the district's needs assessment data include local objective data on both the incidence and prevalence of students' violent behavior and use of illegal substances. Title IV Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities Section 4115(a)(1)(A)  SDF2. The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain evidence that the district has objectively analyzed both the incidence and prevalence of students' violent behavior and use of illegal substances. Title IV Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities Section 4115(a)(1)(A)  SDF3. The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) contains no evidence that the assessment of student violence and illegal drug use

Citation	Topic	Rule/Statute	Rule/Statute Interpretation	Noncompliance
				is ongoing. Title IV Safe and Drug- Free Schools and Communities NCLB Section 4115(a)(1)(A)
Title IV, Part A Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities Section 4115(a)(1) (D)	4. Application for federal funding  Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities federal programs: Collection and analysis of community risk and protective factors data	program or activity shallbe based upon analyze the data reasonably available at the time, including high or increasing rates of reported cases of child abuse and domestic violence; protective factors, buffers, assets; or other variables in schools and communities in the State identified through scientifically based research	<ul> <li>Note: These requirements apply only to public school districts accessing Safe and Drug-Free School and Communities federal program funds.</li> <li>A district must collect two types of community data: 1) risk factors and 2) protective factors related to substance abuse and violence.</li> <li>Risk factors are characteristics of a school, family, community, or peerindividual that are predictive of alcohol, tobacco, and illegal drug use and violent behavior by the student in a school and community.</li> <li>Protective factors/buffers/assets are characteristics of a school, family, community, or peer-individual that have been shown to prevent alcohol, tobacco, and illegal drug use and violent behavior by the student in a school and community.</li> <li>The risk and protective factors analyzed by school districts must be ones that have been identified through prospective, longitudinal research, or be grounded in a well-established model of prevention. The Search Institute's asset model and Hawkins and Catalano's risk and protective factors are two commonly used models of prevention that meet this test.</li> </ul>	For public school districts accessing Title IV, Part A funds:  SDF4. The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) contains no evidence that the district has analyzed research-based risk factors and protective factors/buffers/assets for its Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Community program. Title IV Safe and Drug Free Schools and Communities NCLB Section 4115(a)(1)(D)

	What do/will we do to meet student learning needs?					
Citation	Topic	Rule/Statute	Rule/Statute Interpretation	Nonco	mpliance Statement	
281—IAC 12.8(1) (a)(1)(3)	5. Student learning goals	The school or school district shall involve the local community in decision-making processes as appropriate. The school or school district shall seek input from the local community about, but not limited to, the following elements at least once every five years: student learning goals	<ul> <li>"Student learning goals" means general statements of expectations for all graduates.</li> <li>The type and number of student learning goals is locally determined.</li> <li>Student learning goals may reflect the state indicators pursuant to 12.8(3)(a).</li> <li>Note to public school districts only: Local student learning goals may reflect NCLB requirements of student proficiency in the areas of reading and mathematics by</li> </ul>	LC5.	The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain evidence that the school or school district seeks input from the local community at least once every five years about student learning goals. 281—IAC 12.8(1)(a)(1)(2)  The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain student learning goals.	
281—IAC 12.8(1) (b)(3)	6. Long-range reading, mathematics, and science goal(s)	The board, with input from its school improvement advisory committee, shall adopt long-range goals to improve student achievement	<ul> <li>"Long range goals" means desired targets to be reached over an extended period of time.</li> <li>Long range goal to address reading achievement under No Child Left Behind</li> <li>A school district may chose to use its state trajectory goal(s) under the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 (100 percent of students proficient in reading by 2013-2014) as its long range goal to address reading and mathematics achievement.</li> <li>Long range goals under 281—IAC 12.8</li> </ul>	LRG1.	The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain a measurable long-range goal(s) that addresses improvement in the area of reading. 281—IAC 12.8(1)(b)(3)  The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain a measurable long-range goal(s) that addresses improvement in the area of mathematics. 281—IAC	
			For long range goals written under 281—IAC 12.8 (for accredited nonpublic schools and school districts that chose to write long range goals in addition to the state trajectory goals for reading and mathematics), the following criteria apply:  • Long-range improvement goals may or may not contain a percentage increase.  • A long-range goal may contain more than one content area.	LRG 3	12.8(1)(b)(3)  The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain a measurable long-range goal(s) that addresses improvement in the area of science. 281—IAC 12.8(1)(b)(3)	

Long-range goals may be based upon a specific subgroup, not necessarily based on an entire population. Long-range improvement goals must be based on improving student achievement, not maintaining student achievement. The long-range goal might not contain the words "reading," "mathematics," or "science," but the goal must contain language that leads to the improvement of achievement in those areas (e.g., a literacy goal). Long-range goals may address areas in addition to reading, mathematics, and science. These additional areas are locally determined. The long-range goal should be alligned with needs assessment data.  Note: Any accredited nonpublic school or public school district that houses students in grades eight and eleven must have a long range goal(s) for science. A district or accredited nonpublic school is strict or accredited nonpublic school is strict and accredited nonpublic school is strict or accredited nonpublic school is strict or accredited nonpublic school is strict or accredited nonpublic school is the required to have a long-range goal is science if it does not house grades eight and eleven.  Note to public school districts only: Local long-range goals in the areas of reading and mathematics may be aligned with for the same as) the NCLB timeline of all students proficient by 2013-14.	Citation	Topic	Rule/Statute	Rule/Statute Interpretation	Noncompliance Statement
	Citation	ТОРІС	Rule/Statute	<ul> <li>Long-range goals may be based upon a specific subgroup, not necessarily based on an entire population.</li> <li>Long-range improvement goals must be based on improving student achievement, not maintaining student achievement.</li> <li>The long-range goal might not contain the words "reading," "mathematics," or "science," but the goal must contain language that leads to the improvement of achievement in those areas (e.g., a literacy goal).</li> <li>Long-range goals may address areas in addition to reading, mathematics, and science. These additional areas are locally determined.</li> <li>The long-range goal should be aligned with needs assessment data.</li> <li>Note: Any accredited nonpublic school or public school district that houses students in grades eight and eleven must have a long range goal(s) for science. A district or accredited nonpublic school is not required to have a long-range goal in science if it does not house grades eight and eleven.</li> <li>Note to public school districts only:         Local long-range goals in the areas of reading and mathematics may be aligned with (or the same as) the NCLB timeline of all students</li> </ul>	Noncompliance Statement

Citation	Topic	Rule/Statute	Rı	ule/Statute Interpretation	Noncompliance Statement
281—IAC 12.5(8)	7. MCGF goals	Each school or school district shall incorporate multicultural and gender fair goals for the educational program into its	•	Whether or not the school or school district has separate MCGF goals in its CSIP or incorporates MCGF goals into student learning goals, local indicators,	MCGF3. The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain goals that support the incorporation of MCGF into the
		comprehensive school improvement plan. Incorporation shall including the following:  a) Multicultural approaches to the educational program. These shall be defined as approaches which foster knowledge of, and respect and appreciation for, the historical and contemporary contributions of diverse cultural groups, including race, color, national origin, gender, disability, religion, creed, and socioeconomic background. The contributions and perspectives of Asian Americans, African Americans, Hispanic Americans, and persons with disabilities shall be included in the program. b) Gender fair approaches to the educational program. These shall be defined as approaches which foster knowledge of, and respect and appreciation for, the historical and contemporary contributions of women and men to society. The program shall reflect the	•	long-range goals, content standards, and/or annual improvement goals is locally determined.  Local MCGF goals may address any of the following: inclusion of contributions and perspectives of diverse racial/ethnic groups, including men and women and persons with disabilities; awareness of and respect for diversity; living skills related to diversity; and/or achievement goals for student subgroups.  The method by which MCGF is incorporated into the total educational program is a local decision.	educational program. 281—IAC 12.5(8)

Citation	Topic	Rule/Statute	Rule/Statute Interpretation	Noncompliance Statement
		wide variety of roles open to both women and men and shall provide equal opportunity to both sexes.		
Programs for Returning Dropouts and Dropout Prevention Iowa Code subsection 257.38(1)	8. Application for state funding in allowable growth  At-risk students, returning dropouts, and dropout prevention: program goals and activities	The program plans shall include program goals, objectives, and activities to meet the needs of children who may drop out of school or dropouts who return to school which are consistent with student learning goals and the content standards established by the school district or for school districts participating in a consortium.	<ul> <li>Note: This requirement applies only to districts using additional allowable growth for provisions for at-risk students.</li> <li>Whether or not the school district has separate at-risk program goals, objectives, and activities in its CSIP in addition to student learning goals, local indicators, long-range goals, content standards, annual improvement goals, and/or action plans is locally determined.</li> <li>The district determines the kinds of appropriate, ongoing education activities to meet its students needs. These are locally determined.</li> <li>How the school district incorporates atrisk program goals into its CSIP is locally determined.</li> </ul>	For public school districts using additional allowable growth for provisions for at-risk students:  AR6. The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain education program goals for at-risk students. Iowa Code subsection 257.38(1)  AR7. The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain education program activities for at-risk students. Iowa Code subsection 257.38(1)
281—IAC 12.5(18)	9. Application for state funding  Early intervention program: early intervention goals	[Each school district receiving lowa early intervention program funds] shall incorporate into its comprehensive school improvement plan goals for kindergarten through grade 3 students to achieve a higher level of success in the basic skills, especially reading.	<ul> <li>Note: This requirement applies only to public school districts receiving lowa Early Intervention Program funds.</li> <li>Early intervention goals may be the same as fourth grade reading or mathematics or the school district may have reading and mathematics goals specific to K-3. These are locally determined.</li> <li>The district's Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) goals for NCLB may be used as its early intervention goals.</li> </ul>	For public school districts receiving Iowa Early Intervention Program funds: EIG1. The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain early intervention goals. 281—IAC 12.5(18)

R	evise	b	March	١4.	2004

Citation	Topic	Rule/Statute	Rule/Statute Interpretation	Noncompliance Statement
Title II, Part D Enhancing Education Through Technology NCLB Section 2414(b)(2) and E-Rate	10. Application for federal funding Federal technology program: Technology Goals E-Rate: Technology Goals	Enhancing Education Through Technologyspecific goals for using advanced technology to improve student academic achievement, aligned with challenging State [local] academic content and student academic achievement standards [local content standards and benchmarks].  E-Rate The plan must establish clear goals and a realistic strategy for using telecommunications and information technology to improve education or library services.	<ul> <li>Note: This requirement applies only to school districts applying for federal technology funds (either individually or as part of a consortium) under Title II, Part D and E-rate</li> <li>Technology goals in the comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) must be aligned with student learning goals, standards, and/or annual improvement goals.</li> <li>Local technology goals may emphasize the integration of technology, which can be comprised of the following: literacy skills, communication skills, technology skills, learning for the 21<sup>st</sup> century, and technology literacy.</li> <li>Local technology goals may emphasize the integration of technology, which can comprise information, communication, technology skills, and technology literacy.</li> </ul>	For public school districts accessing Title II, Part D funds and E-rate: FTP1. The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain specific goals for using advanced technology to improve student academic achievement, aligned with local content standards and benchmarks. Title II, Part D Enhancing Education Through Technology NCLB Section 2414(b)(2) and E-Rate
Title IV, Part A Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities NCLB Sections 4115(a)(1) (B) and 4114(d)(2)(B)	Application for federal funding  Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities federal programs: Performance measures	the program or activity shallbe based on established set of performance measures aimed at ensuring that the elementary schools and secondary schools and communities to be served by the program have a safe, orderly, and drug-free learning environment. the local educational agency's performance measures for drug and violence prevention programs and activities shall consist of—(i) performance indicators for drug and violence prevention programs and activities: including—(I)specific reductions in the prevalence of identified risk factors; and (II) specific increases in the prevalence of protective factors,	<ul> <li>Note: These requirements apply only to public school districts accessing Safe and Drug-Free School and Communities federal program funds.</li> <li>A school district must identify performance measures to quantitatively assess the effectiveness of its prevention program in reducing illegal drug use and/or violent or disruptive behavior.</li> <li>A school district may identify performance measures focused on specific increases in the prevalence of protective factors, buffers, and assets if they have been identified.</li> <li>The school district's performance measures a) must include goals on reduced violence or drug use; b) may include measures of attitudes that are predictors/precursors of drug use of violence behavior; and c) may include goals related to the quality of program</li> </ul>	For public school districts accessing Title IV, Part A funds:  SDF5. The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain performance measures for the district's drug and violence prevention program. Title IV, Part A Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities NCLB Section 4114(d)(2)(B) and 4115(a)(1)(B)  SDF6. The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain performance measures that reduce student violence or drug use. Title IV, Part A Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities NCLB Section 4114(d)(2)(B)(I)  SDF7. The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not

Citation	Topic	Rule/Statute	Rule/Statute Interpretation	Noncompliance Statement
		buffers, or assets if any have been identified; and (ii) levels of performance for each indicators;	<ul> <li>implementation.</li> <li>The level of performance for each indicator is locally determined.</li> <li>Performance measures should be directly related to the results of the school district's need assessment.</li> </ul>	contain performance measures that are quantitative and/or contain levels of performance. Title IV, Part A Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities NCLB Section 4114(d)(2)(B)(ii)
281—IAC 12.8(1)(d)	12. Actions to meet the needs	Actions shall include, but are not limited to, addressing the improvement of curricular and instructional practices to attain the long-range goals and annual improvement goals.	The actions are aligned with long range goals. Specific district-wide actions are locally determined.  Note for public school districts only: District-wide actions to meet student needs may be the same activities that are included as part of the district career development plan.	AMN1.The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain actions that address the improvement of curricular and instructional practices for obtainment of long range and annual improvement goals in reading. 281—IAC 12.8(1)(d)  AMN2.The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain actions that address the improvement of curricular and instructional practices for obtainment or long range and annual improvement goals in mathematics. 281—IAC 12.8(1)(d)  AMN3.The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain actions that address the improvement of curricular and instructional practices for obtainment or long range and annual improvement goals in science. 281—IAC 12.8(1)(d)

Citation	Topic	Rule/Statute	Rule/Statute Interpretation	Noncompliance Statement
281—IAC 12.5(18)	Application for state funding lowa Early Intervention Program: activities for K-3 students	Each school district shall incorporate into its comprehensive school improvement plan goals for kindergarten through grade 3 students to achieve a higher level of success in the basic skills, especially reading.	<ul> <li>Note: These requirements apply only to public school districts accessing lowa Early Intervention Program funds.</li> <li>Specific activities for K-3 students are locally determined.</li> <li>Early Intervention Program activities may be the same activities that are included as part of the district career development plan.</li> </ul>	For public school districts accessing lowa Early Intervention Program funds: IEI1 The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) contains no evidence that activities exist for K- 3 students to achieve a higher level of success in the basic skills. 281— IAC 12.5(18)
Title II, Part D Enhancing Education Through Technology NCLB Section 2414(b)(4) (A) and 2414(b)(7)	14. Application for federal funding  Federal technology program: technology integration into curricula and instruction	A description of how the applicant will identify and promote curricula and teaching strategies that integrate technology effectively into curricula and instruction, based on a review of relevant research, leading to improvements in student academic achievement, as measured by challenging State [local] academic content and student academic achievement standards [local content standards and benchmarks];  A description of how the applicant will integrate technology (including software and other electronically delivered learning materials) into curricula and instruction, and a timeline for such integration	<ul> <li>Note: These requirements apply only to public school districts accessing federal Technology program funds.</li> <li>To what degree and by what methods technology is integrated into curricula and instruction is locally determined.</li> <li>Technology integration activities may be the same activities that are included as part of the district career development plan.</li> </ul>	For public school districts accessing Title II, Part D funds: FTP2. The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain evidence that technology to enhance student achievement is integrated into the curricula and instruction. Title II, Part D Enhancing Education Through Technology NCLB Section 2414(b)(4)(A) and 2414(b)(7)
Title IV, Part A Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities NCLB Section 4115(a)(1) (c)	15.  Application for funding Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities federal program: Scientifically-based research	program or activities shall—be based on scientifically-based research that provides evidence that the program to be used will reduce violence and illegal drug use	<ul> <li>Note: These requirements apply only to public school districts accessing Safe and Drug-Free School and Communities federal program funds.</li> <li>Programs that have demonstrated effectiveness in preventing drug use, violence, or disruptive behavior are those that meet the standards of the Principles of Effectiveness,</li> <li>Scientifically-based research is defined in NCLB under Title IX Part A, Section 9101(37).</li> </ul>	For public school districts accessing Title IV, Part A funds:  SDF 9. The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain evidence that the program or activities that are funded by Title IV, Part A are based on scientifically based research. Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities NCLB Section 4115(a)(1)(C)

Citation	Topic	Rule/Statute	Rule/Statute Interpretation	Noncompliance Statement
281—IAC 12.7(1), District Career Development Plan Iowa Code section 284.6 and 281—IAC 83.6(2)(a) rules scheduled for adoption February 2004	16. Professional Development: Alignment with district goals	Note: This applies to public school districts and accredited nonpublic schools.  281—IAC 12.7(1)staff development activities shall align with district goals  Note: This applies only to public school districts.  District Career Development Plan lowa Code 284.6 and 281—IAC 83.6(2)(a)the plan shall align all career development with the school district's long range student learning goals which are based on student achievement data and analysis.	<ul> <li>Note: This applies to public school districts and accredited nonpublic schools.</li> <li>Staff development must be related to priorities as stated in the CSIP.</li> <li>Note: This applies only to public school districts.</li> <li>The district career development plan provides evidence that the content selected for professional development includes strategies/models/programs that target the district's goals. The professional development plan is focused on the instructional priorities established in the goal(s). The plan describes a design for training and learning opportunities that are structured to increase student achievement in the identified goal area.</li> <li>The district career development plan actions are based on student data and other needs assessment. The plan shows evidence that student data and other needs assessment data informed goal development, the selection of content, and the design of learning opportunities.</li> </ul>	For accredited nonpublic schools:  PD6. The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain evidence that any staff development aligns with district goals. 281—IAC 12.7(1)  For public school districts:  TQ1. The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain evidence that professional development activities are aligned with the school district's long-range student learning goals. 281—IAC 12.7(1), District Career Development Plan Iowa Code section 284.6  TQ2. The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain evidence that a connection exists among the student data, the goal(s), or the content selected for professional development learning. District Career Development Plan 281—IAC 83.6(2)(a)
District Career Development Plan Iowa Code section 284.6, Title II, Part D Enhancing Education Through Technology NCLB Section	17. Professional Development: Improved Instruction	District Career Development Planinstructional improvement componentsthe improvement in instructional practice and the effect on student learningcareer development practices that produce evidence of the link between teacher training and the improved student learning  Documentation that professional development is focused on instruction, curriculum, and assessment	<ul> <li>Note: This requirement applies only to public school districts.</li> <li>The identified content for professional development provides is focused on instruction. Professional development learning opportunities address what is taught, how it is taught, and how student performance is measured. Content is intended to increase teachers' knowledge and skills for the purpose of increasing student achievement.</li> <li>In addition to professional development aimed at increasing student achievement, the comprehensive school improvement</li> </ul>	For public school districts:  TQ3. The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain evidence that professional development activities are focused on instructional strategies that specifically target student achievement. District Career Development Plan Iowa Code section 284.6  TQ4. The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain evidence that professional

Citation To	opic Rule/Statute	Rule/Statute Interpretation	Noncompliance Statement
2414(b)(4)(B) and Title III Language Instruction for Limited English Proficient and Immigrant Students NCLB Section 3115(c)(2) and 281— IAC 83.6(2)(a)(1) rules scheduled for adoption February 2004	Title II, Part Dprovide on-going, sustained professional development for teachers, principals, administrators, and school library media personnel serving the local educational agency, to further the effective use of technology in the classroom or library media center  Title IIIto provide high quality professional development delivered to classroom teachers (including teachers in classroom settings that are not the settings of language instruction educational programs), principals, administrators, and other school or community based organizational personnel, that is—(A) designed to improve the instruction and assessment of limited English proficient children; (B)designed to enhance the ability of such teachers to understand and use curricula, assessment measures, and instructional strategies for limited English proficient children.	plan might include other types of training to address support system issues as determined by needs assessment (e.g., curriculum, assessment, climate, leadership, or policy).  If a school district is making application for Title II, Part D funds, the comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) must contain professional development for technology.  If a school district is making application for Title III funds, the comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) must contain professional development for meeting the needs of LEP students.  The specific content for professional development, either focused on instruction or context and process, is locally determined.	development activities are focused on curriculum and assessment strategies that specifically target student achievement. 281—IAC 83.6(2)(a)(1)  For public school districts accessing Title II, Part D: FTP3: The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain evidence that the district provides on-going, sustained professional development to further the effective use of technology in the classroom or library media center. Title II, Part D Enhancing Education Through Technology NCLB Section 2414(b)(4)(B)  For public school districts accessing Title III funds:  LEP1. The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain evidence that the district provides professional development designed to improve curriculum, instruction, and assessment for limited English proficient children. Title III Language Instruction for Limited English Proficient and Immigrant Students NCLB Section 3115(c)(2)

Citation	Topic	Rule/Statute	Rule/Statute Interpretation	Nonco	ompliance Statement
281 – IAC	18.	Chapter 12	Note: For public school districts and	PD5.	The comprehensive school
12.7(1),	Professional	and shall emphasize the	accredited nonpublic schools.		improvement plan (CSIP) does not
District	Development:	research-based practices to	<ul> <li>While the CSIP must contain evidence of</li> </ul>		contain evidence of any research-
Career	Research-	achieve increased student	research-based staff development		based staff development practices.
Development	based	achievement, learning, and	practices, the choice with regard to		281—IAC 12.7(1) and District
Plan Iowa		performance as stated in the	specific strategies is locally determined.		Career Development Plan Iowa
Code		comprehensive school			Code section 284.6(1)(b), Mentoring
section		improvement plan.	Note: For public school districts only:		and Induction Program 281—IAC
284.6(1)(b),			<ul> <li>Scientifically-based research means</li> </ul>		83.3(2)(h)(2), and/or Title III
Mentoring		District Career Development Plan	research that involves the application of		Language Instruction for Limited
and		Research-based instructional	rigorous, systematic, and objective		English Proficient and Immigrant
Induction		strategies aligned with the school	procedures to obtain reliable and valid		Students NCLB Section
Program		district's student achievement	knowledge relevant to education activities		3115(c)(2)(C)
281—IAC		needs and the long-range	and programs.		
83.3(2)(h)(2),		improvement goals established by			
and		the district.			
Title III					
Language		Title III			
Instruction		to provide high quality			
for Limited		professional development to			
and English Proficient		classroom teachers (including			
and		teachers in classroom settings that			
Immigrant		are not the settings of language			
Students		instruction educational programs),			
NCLB		principals, administrators, and			
Section		other school or community based			
3115(c)(2)(C)		organizational personnel that is—			
0110(0)(2)(0)		(C) designed based on			
		scientifically base research			
		demonstrating the effectiveness of the professional development in			
		increasing children's English			
		proficiency or substantially			
		increasing the subject matter			
		knowledge, teaching knowledge,			
		and teaching skills of such		1	
		teachers			
		teachers			
		Mentoring and Induction Program			
		Activities that provide access and			
		opportunities for interaction			

Citation	Topic	Rule/Statute	Rule/Statute Interpretation	Noncompliance Statement
		between mentor and beginning teacher that at a minimum provide:(2) providing research-based instructional strategies.		
District Career Development Plan Iowa Code subsection 284.6(1)(a), Mentoring and Induction 281—IAC 83.3(2)(h)(1) ,and rules scheduled for adoption February 2004: 281—IAC 83.6(2)(a)(1)	19. Professional Development: Iowa Teaching Standards	District Career Development Plan Support thatcareer development needs[are] aligned with the Iowa Teaching Standards.  Mentoring and Induction Program Activities that provide access and opportunities for interaction between mentor and beginning teacher that at a minimum provide: (1) Developing and enhancing competencies for the Iowa teaching standards	<ul> <li>Note: This requirement applies only to public school districts.</li> <li>Professional development opportunities for all teachers should align with the lowa Teaching Standards and criteria.</li> <li>Any descriptors that further define the criteria for the lowa Teaching Standards are locally determined.</li> </ul>	For public school districts only:  TQ5. The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) contains no evidence that professional development learning opportunities are aligned with the Iowa Teaching Standards. District Career Development Plan Iowa Code subsection 284.6(1)(a) and Mentoring and Induction 281—IAC 83.3(2)(h)(1)
District Career Development Plan Iowa Code subsection 284.6(3)	20. Professional Development: Providers	The plan shall indicate the school district's approved career [professional] development provider or providers.	<ul> <li>Note: This requirement applies only to public school districts.</li> <li>A provider can be a school district, an area education agency, a higher education institution, other public or private entities including professional organizations that provide long-term, ongoing support of the district's career development plan, or a consortium of any of the foregoing.</li> <li>Area education agencies, local education agencies, and lowa institutions of higher education are already accredited or approved through state accreditation procedures and personnel representing these agencies are considered approved to serve as professional development</li> </ul>	TQ6. The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain evidence of the approved professional development provider or providers. District Career Development Plan Iowa Code subsection 284.6(3)

Citation	Topic	Rule/Statute	Rule/Statute Interpretation	Noncompliance Statement
Perkins PL 105-332, Title I, Part C, Sec. 134(b)(10)	Application for federal funding  Professional Development: Career and Technical Education	each local plan shall—(10) describe how comprehensive professional development (including initial teacher preparation) for career and technical, academic, guidance, and administrative personnel will be provided.	<ul> <li>Note: This requirement applies only to public school districts.</li> <li>How a school district provides comprehensive professional development is locally determined.</li> <li>The content of comprehensive professional development is locally determined.</li> <li>The comprehensive professional development activities should focus on improving the career and technical education program.</li> </ul>	For public school districts accessing Perkins funds:  PERK1 The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain evidence that the comprehensive professional development program for career and technical education is provided for the following personnel: 1) career and technical teacher, 2) academic teachers, 3) guidance staff, and 4) administration. Perkins PL 105-332, Title I, Part C, Sec. 134(b)(10)
Title II, Part D Enhancing Education Through Technology NCLB Section 2414(b)(4) (B) and E- Rate	23. Application for federal funding  Professional Development: Effective Use of Technology	Title II, Part D A description of how the applicant will—(B) provide ongoing, sustained professional development for teachers, principals, administrators, and school library media personnel serving the local educational agency, to further the effective use of technology in the classroom or library media center, including, if applicable, a list of the entities that will be partners with the local educational agency involved in the providing the ongoing, sustained professional development.  E-Rate The plan must have a professional development strategy to ensure that staff know how to use these new technologies to improve education or library services.	<ul> <li>Note: This requirement applies only to public school districts accessing Title II, Part D funds.</li> <li>The degree to which technology is used in teachers' professional development and classroom instruction is locally determined.</li> <li>Note: This requirement applies only to public school districts accessing Title II, Part D and E-Rate funds.</li> <li>The method by which technology is incorporated and integrated into the professional development for teachers, principals, administrators, and school library media personnel is a local decision.</li> </ul>	For public school districts accessing Title II, Part D and E-Rate funds:  FTP4. The comprehensive school (CSIP) does not contain evidence of professional development for the effective use of technology for all of the following: teachers, principals, administrators, and school media library personnel. Title II, Part D Enhancing Education Through Technology Section 2414(b)(4)(B) and E-Rate  For public school districts accessing Title II, Part D funds:  FTP5. The comprehensive school (CSIP) does not contain evidence of ongoing, sustained professional development for the effective use of technology. Title II, Part D Enhancing Education Through Technology NCLB Section 2414(b)(4)(B)

	oncompliance Statement
201—IAC   24.   Each agency, in conjunction with   Note. This requirement applies only to bublic   For	
	PED1. The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain evidence of activities designed to improve individual performance resulting from the provision of special education. 281—IAC 41.12(3)

# How do/will we know student learning has changed? (student data)

Citation	Topic	Rule/Statute	Rul	e/Statute Interpretation	Noncom	pliance Statement
Citation 281—IAC 12.8(1)(f)	Z5. Assessment of all students	Rule/Statute  Each school or school district shall include in its comprehensive school improvement plan provisions for district-wide assessment of student progress for all students.	Rul	District-wide means all attendance centers within a school district or accredited nonpublic school.  District-wide assessment means large-scale achievement or performance measures.  All districts must use the ITBS and the ITED or be prepared to demonstrate that any other tests are valid and reliable and aligned to the core content standards and benchmarks corresponding to the ITBS and the ITED.  All students with an Individualized Education Plan (IEP) must be assessed in reading and mathematics. The majority of students with an IEP are able to participate with or without accommodations. The IEP team determines this participate in district-wide assessments unless a student's IEP designates an alternate assessment.  The district-wide assessment plan must assess student progress on all content standards in reading, mathematics, and science.  All Limited English Proficient (ELL) students must be included in the district's content assessments in reading and mathematics for Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) pursuant to NCLB		The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain evidence that all students are included in district-wide assessments used to measure goal progress with reading and mathematics. 281—IAC 12.8(1)(f)
			•			

Citation	Topic	Rule/Statute	Rule/Statute Interpretation	Noncompliance Statement
281—IAC	26.	A school or school district shall use	Multiple assessment measures, for	DWAP6. The CSIP does not demonstrate
12.8(1)(f)(1)	Multiple measures in reading	additional assessments to measure progress on locally determined content standards in reading.	reporting to the local community or state, means more than one valid and reliable instrument that quantifies district-wide student learning, including specific grade level data.  The multiple measure/s can be given at any grade level. This is a local decision.  At a minimum, a school district or accredited nonpublic school must have at least one additional assessment in reading.	that at least one multiple measure is used district-wide to assess student progress in reading. 281—IAC 12.8(1)(f)(1)
281—IAC 12.8(1)(f) and lowa Code subsection 256D.1(b)	27. Application for state funding  Diagnostic reading assessments	Chapter 12 A school district shall, at a minimum, biannually inform parents of their individual child's performance on the results of diagnostic assessments in kindergarten, first grade, second, grade, and third grade  lowa Early Intervention Block Grant Programshall identify diagnostic assessment tools that can be used to assist teachers in measure reading accuracy and fluency skills, including but not limited to phonemic awareness, oral reading ability, and comprehension skills, to improve student achievement in kindergarten through grade 3.	<ul> <li>Note: These requirements apply only to public school districts accessing lowa Early Intervention Program funds.</li> <li>Diagnostic assessments need to be administered at every grade K, 1, 2, and 3.</li> <li>Every student in every grade K-3 must be assessed at least twice a year so that parents can be notified at least two times each year of their individual child's reading progress and interventions planned to improve performance.</li> <li>Districts do not have to assess all three areas (phonemic awareness, fluency, and comprehension) at each grade level; however, all three areas must be assessed at some time in the K-3 grade span.</li> <li>Districts must determine the grade level at which to assess skills most appropriately.</li> </ul>	For public school districts accessing lowa Early Intervention Program funds.  DWAP3. The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain diagnostic assessments at each of the grades K, 1, 2, and 3. 281—IAC 12.8(1)(f)  DWAP4. The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain diagnostic assessments that cover phonemic awareness, fluency, and comprehension at some time in the K-3 grade span. lowa Code subsection 256D.1(b)

Citation	Topic	Rule/Statute	Rule/Statute Interpretation	Noncompliance Statement
281—IAC 12.8(1)(f)(1)	28. Multiple measures in mathematics	A school or school district shall use additional assessments to measure progress on locally determined content standards in mathematics.	<ul> <li>Multiple assessment measures, for reporting to the local community or state, means more than one valid and reliable instrument that quantifies district-wide student learning, including specific grade level data.</li> <li>The multiple measure/s can be given at any grade level. This is a local decision.</li> <li>At a minimum, a school district or accredited nonpublic school must have at least one additional assessment in mathematics.</li> </ul>	DWAP7. The CSIP does not demonstrate that at least one multiple measure is used district-wide to assess student progress in mathematics. 281—IAC 12.8(1)(f)(1)
281—IAC 12.8(1)(f)(1)	29. Multiple measures in science	A school or school district shall use additional assessments to measure progress on locally determined content standards in science.	<ul> <li>Multiple assessment measures, for reporting to the local community or state, means more than one valid and reliable instrument that quantifies district-wide student learning, including specific grade level data.</li> <li>The multiple measure/s can be given at any grade level. This is a local decision.</li> <li>At a minimum, a school district or accredited nonpublic school must have at least one additional assessment in science.</li> </ul>	DWAP8. The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not demonstrate that at least one multiple measure is used district-wide to assess student progress in science. 281—IAC 12.8(1)(f)(1)
Title III Language Instruction for Limited English Proficient and Immigrant Students Section 3116(b)(3)(C)	30. Application for federal funding  English proficiency of limited English proficient children	annually measuring the English proficiency of limited English proficient children, so that such children served by the programs carried out under this part develop proficiency in English while meeting State [local] academic content and student academic achievement standards [content standards and benchmarks in reading and mathematics] as required by Section 1111(b)(1)	<ul> <li>Note: This requirement applies only to public school districts accessing Title III funds.</li> <li>The district must assess all ELL students in grades K-12 in English language proficiency.</li> <li>English language learners (ELL) who receive full or transitional services must be assessed for English language proficiency.</li> <li>A student who has exited an ELL program is not required to be tested for English language proficiency.</li> </ul>	For public school districts accessing Title III funds:  LEP2. The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain evidence that the district using Title III funds annually assesses the English proficiency of limited English proficient students. Title III Language Instruction for Limited English Proficient and Immigrant Students Section 3116(b)(3)(C)

## How will we evaluate our programs and services to ensure improved student learning? (implementation data)

Note: Program evaluation does not have to be separate evaluation methods and/or data points for each program. In some cases, the evaluation may address several programs at the same time.

Citation	Topic	Rule	Rule Interpretation	Noncompliance Statement
281—IAC 12.8(1)(e)	31. Evaluation of the comprehensive school improvement plan	A school or school district shall develop strategies to collect data and information to determine if the plan has accomplished the goals for which it was established.	<ul> <li>"Strategies" to collect means the techniques, routines, and/or manner in which data and information are collected with regard to CSIP goals. The development of these strategies might identify how district-wide and building data are managed by individuals and collectively.</li> <li>Strategies to collect data and information are locally determined.</li> </ul>	ECSIP1. The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain evidence that strategies exist to collect data and information to determine if the plan has accomplished the goals for which it was established. 281—IAC 12.8(1)(e)
281—IAC 12.5(12)	32. Evaluation of gifted and talented program	Each school district shall include in its CSIP the provision to review and evaluate its gifted and talented program.	<ul> <li>Note: This requirement applies only to public school districts.</li> <li>The content and frequency of the school district's evaluation of its gifted and talented programming is locally determined.</li> </ul>	For public school districts only: GT2. The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain evidence that the district evaluates its gifted and talented program. 281 –IAC12.5(12)
281—IAC 12.5(13)	33. Evaluation of at-risk program	Each school district shall include in its CSIP the plan to review and evaluate the effectiveness of provisions for at-risk students.	<ul> <li>Note: This requirement applies only to public school districts.</li> <li>The content, frequency, and method of the school district's evaluation of its at-risk programming is locally determined.</li> </ul>	For public school districts only: AR4. The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain evidence that the district evaluates its at-risk program. 281—IAC 12.5(13)
Beginning Teacher Mentoring and Induction 281—IAC 83.3(3)(e)(1) and (2) and	34. Application for state funding  Evaluation of mentoring and	An evaluation process for the program, which shall include—(1) an evaluation of the district program goals, (2) an evaluation process that provides for the minor and major program revisions, and (3) a process for how information about the program will be provided	<ul> <li>Note: This requirement applies only to public school districts.</li> <li>The process and content for the evaluation of the district mentoring and induction programs goals are locally determined.</li> <li>The evaluation process that provides for minor and major program revisions is</li> </ul>	For public school districts only:  TQ9. The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain evidence that the district evaluates its Beginning Teacher Induction and Mentoring program.  Beginning Teacher Mentoring and Induction 281—IAC

Citation	Topic	Rule	Rule Interpretation	Noncompliance Statement
(3)	induction program	to interested stakeholders.	<ul> <li>locally determined.</li> <li>The process for how information about the program will be provided to interested stakeholders is locally determined.</li> </ul>	83.3(3)(e)(1)and (2)
District Career Development Plan lowa Code subsection 284.6(1)(d) and rules scheduled for adoption February 2004: 281— IAC 83.6(2)(a)(5)	35. Evaluation of professional development: Improvement in instructional practice	Iowa Code subsection 284.6(1)(d) An evaluation component that documents the improvement in instructional practices and the effect on student learning.  281—IAC 83.6(2)(a)(5)a program evaluation designed for formative and summative evaluation	<ul> <li>Note: This requirement applies only to public school districts.</li> <li>The kind and frequency of teacher data about the implementation of instructional strategies collected to determine the effect of professional development on improved instructional practices are locally determined.</li> <li>The kind and frequency of student achievement data collected to determine the effect of professional development on student learning are locally determined.</li> </ul>	For public school districts only: TQ10. The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain evidence that the school district evaluates the effectiveness of its district career development plan by analyzing teacher data about the implementation of instructional strategies. District Career Development Plan Iowa Code subsection 284.6(1)(d)  TQ11. The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain evidence that the school district evaluates the effectiveness of its district career development plan by analyzing student achievement data. District Career Development Plan Iowa Code subsection 284.6(1)(d)  TQ12. The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain evidence that the school district evaluates the effectiveness of its district career development plan by analyzing formative and summative data. 281—IAC 83.6(2)(a)(5)

Citation	Topic	Rule	Rule Interpretation	Noncompliance Statement
281—IAC 41.12(3)	36. Evaluation of special education services	Each agency, in conjunction with other agencies, the department, or both, shall implement activities designed to evaluatespecial education. These activities shall document the individual performance resulting from the provision of special education.	<ul> <li>Note: This requirement only applies to public school districts.</li> <li>Evaluation of special education activities includes the process for monitoring progress of students with Individualized Education Programs (IEPs).</li> <li>Progress monitoring is demonstrated in two ways: 1) by IEP goal attainment and 2) level of proficiency on district-wide assessment(s).</li> </ul>	For public school districts only:  ESPE1. The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain evidence about how the district will monitor goal attainment for Individualized Education Programs (IEPs).  281—IAC 41.12(3)  ESPE2. The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain evidence about how the district will monitor district-wide assessment results for students with Individualized Education Programs (IEPs).  281—IAC 41.12(3)
Title I, Part A Parental Involvement NCLB Section 1116(a)(1)(B)	37. Application for federal funding  Evaluation of Title I program	Title I, Part A Parental Involvementconduct, with the involvement of parents, an annual evaluation of the content and effectiveness of the parental involvement policy in improving the academic quality of the schools served under this part, including identifying barriers to greater participation by parents in activities authorized by this section (with particular attention to parents who are economically disadvantaged, are disabled, have limited English proficiency, have limited literacy, or are of any racial or ethnic minority background), and use the findings of such evaluation to design strategies for more effective parental involvement, and to revise, if necessary, the parental involvement policies described in this section.	<ul> <li>Note: This requirement applies only to public school districts accessing Title I, Part A funds.</li> <li>The content and process of the annual review of parental involvement for Title I program are locally determined.</li> <li>The district determines progress through the adequate yearly progress goals (AYP).</li> </ul>	For public school districts accessing Title I, Part A funds:  TITL1. The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain evidence that the district using Title I funds conducts an annual review of the effectiveness of parental involvement in Title I programs.  Title I Parental Involvement NCLB Section 1116(a)(1)(B)

Citation	Topic	Rule	Rule Interpretation	Noncompliance Statement
Title II, Part A Teacher and Principal Training and Recruiting Fund NCLB Section 2112(b)(2)	38. Application for federal funding  Evaluation of the training and recruiting program	A description of how the activities will have a substantial, measurable, and positive impact on student academic achievement	<ul> <li>Note: This requirement applies only to public school districts accessing Title II, Part A Teacher and Principal Training and Recruiting funds.</li> <li>Title II, Part A funds may be expended in the following categories:         <ul> <li>Recruiting and retaining highly qualified teachers and principals</li> <li>Professional development programs</li> <li>Initiatives to retain highly qualified teachers and principals</li> </ul> </li> <li>The district may address evaluation of this program through its district career development plan.</li> </ul>	This requirement applies only to public school districts accessing Title II, Part A funds.  TPTR1. The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain evidence that the activities funded through Title II, Part A will have a substantial, measurable, and positive impact on student academic achievement. Title II, Part A Teacher and Principal Training and Recruiting Fund NCLB Section 2112(b)(2)
Title II, Part D Enhancing Education Through Technology NCLB Section 2414(b)(11)	39. Application for federal funding  Evaluation of technology usage	Title II, Part D Enhancing Education Through Technology Section 2414(b)(11) A description of the process and accountability measures that the applicant will use to evaluate the extent to which activities funded under this subpart are effective in integrating technology into curricula and instruction, increasing the ability of teachers to teach, and enabling students to meet challenging State [local] academic content and student academic achievement standards [local content standards and benchmarks].	Note: This requirement applies only to public school districts accessing Title II, Part D Enhancing Education Through Technology funds.  • The process and accountability measures that the district uses to evaluate the effectiveness of the educational technology plan are locally determined.	For public school districts accessing Title II, Part D funds:  FTP6. The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain evidence that the district evaluates the effectiveness of its educational technology plan. Title II, Part D Enhancing Education Through Technology NCLB Section 2414(b)(11)
Title III Language Instruction	40. Application for federal	Describe how language instruction educational programs carried out under Title III will ensure that	Note: This requirement applies only to public school districts accessing Title III funds.  • The content and process of the annual	For public school districts accessing Title III funds: LEP3. The comprehensive school

Citation	Topic	Rule	Rule Interpretation	Noncompliance Statement
for Limited English Proficient and Immigrant Students NCLB Section 3116(b)(6)	funding  Evaluation of the program for limited English proficient children	limited English proficient children being served by the program develop English proficiency.	review of its Title III Language Instruction for Limited English Proficient and Immigrant Students program are locally determined.	improvement plan (CSIP) does not include evidence of how the district will ensure that its English language instruction educational program assists LEP students to develop English proficiency. Title III Language Instruction for Limited English Proficient and Immigrant Students NCLB Section 3116(b)(6)
Title IV, Part A Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities NCLB Section 4114(d)(3) and Section 4115(a)(2) (A) and (B)	41. Application for federal funding  Evaluation of Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities Program	A description for how the results of the evaluations of the effectiveness of the program will be used to refine, improve, and strengthen the program;  (A) Requirement.—The program for activity shall undergo a periodic evaluation to assess its progress toward reducing violence and illegal drug use in schools to be served based on performance measures(B) Use of results—The results shall be used to refine, improve, and strengthen the program, and to refine the performance measures,	Note: This requirement applies only to public school districts accessing Title IV Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities funds.  • The frequency and methods of "periodic evaluation" are locally determined.	For public school districts accessing Title IV funds:  SDF10. The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain evidence of the periodic evaluation of the district's Safe and Drug Free Schools and Communities Program to reduce violence and illegal drug use.  Title IV Part A Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities NCLB Section 4114(d)(3) and Section 4115(a)(2)  (A)
Perkins PL 105-332, Part C, Sec.	42. Application for federal	Describe the process that will be used to independently evaluate and continuously improve the	Note: This requirement applies only to public school districts accessing Perkins funds.  The process and content of the evaluation	For public school districts accessing Perkins funds: PERK2 The comprehensive school

Citation	Topic	Rule	Rule Interpretation	Noncompliance Statement
134(b)(6)	funding  Evaluation of vocational and technical education programs	performance of the eligible recipient.	of career and technical programs are locally determined.	improvement plan (CSIP) does not contain evidence about how the district independently evaluates and continuously improves the performance of all of its career and technical education programs. Perkins PL 105-332, Title I, Part C, Sec. 134(b)(6)
Perkins PL 105-332, Part C, Sec. 134(b)(7)(A)	43. Application for federal funding  Evaluation of special student populations	Describe how the eligible recipient will review vocational and technical education programs and identify and adopt strategies to overcome barriers that result in lowering rates of access to or lowering success in the programs for special populations.	<ul> <li>Note: This requirement applies only to public school districts accessing Perkins funds.</li> <li>The district will identify strategies to remove barriers for special populations when data demonstrate a need.</li> <li>The strategies the district identifies to overcome access and achievement barriers for special populations are locally determined.</li> <li>A district will identify special populations from the following groups based upon needs data: male, female, disability, economically disadvantaged, nontraditional training, single parent, displaced homemaker, other educational barriers, limited English proficient, tech prep, American Indian or Alaska native, Asian, black or African American, Hispanic or Latino, Hawaiian or Pacific Islander, white, and unknown/other race.</li> </ul>	For public school districts accessing Perkins funds:  PERK3 The comprehensive school improvement plan (CSIP) does not include evidence about how the district reviews vocational and technical (career and technical) programs and adopts strategies, when appropriate, for special populations so that access and success is increased in the programs. Perkins PL 105-332, Part C, Sec. 134(b)(7)(A)